



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/787,426	0	7/02/2001	Kazutoshi Watanabe	P20810	7478	
7055	7590	06/04/2004		EXAMINER		
GREENBL 1950 ROLA		ERNSTEIN, P.L.O	TRUONG, TAMTHOM NGO			
RESTON, V				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•				1624		

DATE MAILED: 06/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/787,426	WATANABE ET AL					
Office Action Summ	ary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Tamthom N. Truong	1624					
The MAILING DATE of this co Period for Reply	ommunication app	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	ress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COI - Extensions of time may be available under the pafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of - If the period for reply specified above, the ma - If NO period for reply is specified above, the ma - Failure to reply within the set or extended period Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.	MMUNICATION. provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 this communication. In thirty (30) days, a reply ximum statutory period w for reply will, by statute, months after the mailing	6(a). In no event, however, may a r within the statutory minimum of thin ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this com BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.				
Status								
1) Responsive to communication	n(s) filed on 19 Fe	bruary 2004.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .	· ·	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in co	·—		ers, prosecution as to the r	nerits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-18 and 25-42</u> is/a	are pending in the	application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s)		• •						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed			1					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-18,25-38 and 40-</u>			1					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>39</u> is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to		election requirement.		••				
Application Papers				`				
9)☐ The specification is objected to	hy the Examiner							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on	•		ny the Evaminer					
Applicant may not request that ar								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) in				1 101(4)				
11) The oath or declaration is obje								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·							
· <u> </u>	alaim for forms		440(-) (4) (5)					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ Nonent Copies of the p	e of:	,	119(a)-(d) or (f).					
		have been received in Ap	oplication No					
	-	•	received in this National St	age				
application from the Inte								
* See the attached detailed Office	e action for a list o	f the certified copies not r	eceived.					
Attachment(s)		_						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Re 	uriour (DTO 040)		ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date					
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO- 			nmail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:		•				

Art Unit: 1624

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's amendment of 02-19-04 has been considered. The amended claims have overcome the previous rejection of 112/2nd on "derivative" and "salt thereof". Thus, said rejection is now withdrawn. The cancellation of claims 19-24 has also overcome the previous rejection of 112/1st on "prophylactic treatment". However, applicant's argument has not overcome the previous rejection of 112/1st on the broad scope of the method claims (e.g., a method of treating a disease caused by tau protein kinase 1). Therefore, said 112/1st rejection is maintained for method claims 13-15, 17, 18, 25, and 26.

Pending claims are 13-18, and 25-42. An update search yields the following new grounds of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 1. Claims 13-18, 25-38, and 40-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The following reasons apply:
 - a. Claims 13, 17, 25, 27, 33, and 42 are indefinite because they recite moieties that "may be substituted" without substituents following said phrase. In the absence of the specific moieties intended to effectuate modification by "substitution" or attachment to

Art Unit: 1624

the chemical core claimed, the term "substituted" renders the claims in which it appears indefinite in all occurrences wherein applicant fails to articulate by chemical name, structural formula or sufficiently distinct functional language, the particular moieties applicant regards as those which will facilitate substitution, requisite to identifying the composition of matter claimed.

- b. Claims 14-16, 18, 26, 28-32, 34-38, 40, and 41 are rejected as being dependent on claims 13, 25, 27, or 33.
- c. Claims 25 and 26 seem to be substantial duplicates of claims 13 and 18 because they appear to have the same scope.
- d. In the definition of "W", when "W" represents "a nitrogen atom which may be substituted...", it is unclear what the bond order (single or double) is between $-N(R^4)$ and W.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. **Scope of Enablement:** Claims 13-15, 17, 18, 25, and 26 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the treatment of Alzheimer's disease, does not reasonably provide enablement for the treatment of any disease mediated by tau protein kinase 1. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art

Art Unit: 1624

to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. The scope of claims 13 and 25 still covers the treatment for numerous diseases both known and unknown in the art. So far, the state of the art only associates tau protein kinase 1 with Alzheimer's disease. Therefore, it would require undue experimentation for the skilled clinician to practice the claimed method of treatment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 27-29, 31-34, 36-38, 40, and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Spohr et. al.** (US 6,096,753) in view of **Spohr et. al.** (US 6,410,729 B1).

In US'753, Spohr et. al. disclose several compounds of substituted pyrimidinone (e.g., see column 138, compounds on lines 47, 21, 37, and 53). Said compounds are analogous to those of the instant formula (I) having the following substituents:

- i. R^1 is $-N(R^4)-W-R^5$ wherein W is a single bond, and R^5 is an alkyl group substituted with a substituted phenyl group while R^4 is hydrogen;
- ii. R^2 is an alkyl group.

Art Unit: 1624

The disclosed compounds differ from the claimed compounds by having $-CH_3$ bonded a ring nitrogen atom. However, such a difference can be overcome by the subsequent teaching of Spohr et. al. (US'729). In US'729, the pyrimidinone compounds do not have substituents on the ring nitrogen atom. Because both sets of compounds share the same biological activity (i.e., treating diseases related to TNF- α , IL-1 β , etc.), it is obvious that the biological activity remains the same whether or not the ring nitrogen is substituted.

Therefore, one of the ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to modify the compounds of US'753 by not alkylating the ring nitrogen to obtain the claimed compounds because said compounds would have been expected to also treat diseases related to TNF- α , IL-1 β , etc. Thus, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to make the compounds as claimed herein in view of the two teachings of Spohr et. al.

Note both patents of Spohr et. al. claim priority dates to their earlier provisional applications, which have the filing dates go back to 12-05-1996, and 06-13-1997.

Claim Objections

4. Claim 39 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tamthom N. Truong whose telephone number is 571-272-0676. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (~ 10 am $\sim 6:30$ pm).

Art Unit: 1624

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mukund Shah can be reached at 571-272-0674. If you are unable to reach Dr. Shah within a 24 hour period, please contact James O. Wilson, Acting SPE of 1624, at 571-272-0661.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-1600.

ART UNIT 1624

T. Truong

May 27, 2004